Forecasting the Effects of Obesity and Smoking on U.S. Life Expectancy

Susan T. Stewart, Ph.D., David M. Cutler, Ph.D., and Allison B. Rosen, M.D., Sc.D.

BACKGROUND
Although increases in obesity over the past 30 years have adversely affected the health of the U.S. population, there have been concomitant improvements in health because of reductions in smoking. Having a better understanding of the joint effects of these trends on longevity and quality of life will facilitate more efficient targeting of health care resources.

METHODS

RESULTS
The negative effects of increasing BMI overwhelmed the positive effects of declines in smoking in multiple scenarios. In the base case, increases in the remaining life expectancy of a typical 18-year-old are held back by 0.71 years or 0.91 quality-adjusted years between 2005 and 2020. If all U.S. adults became nonsmokers of normal weight by 2020, we forecast that the life expectancy of an 18-year-old would increase by 3.76 life-years or 5.16 quality-adjusted years.

CONCLUSIONS
If past obesity trends continue unchecked, the negative effects on the health of the U.S. population will increasingly outweigh the positive effects gained from declining smoking rates. Failure to address continued increases in obesity could result in an erosion of the pattern of steady gains in health observed since early in the 20th century.
Trends in behavioral risk factors can have a profound effect on population health. Estimates suggest that obesity accounts for 5 to 15% of deaths each year in the United States and smoking for 18%. Eliminating smoking could increase population life expectancy by as much as 1 to 2 years. In contrast, if obesity rates continue to grow as they have historically, a leveling off, or even a reversal, of past life expectancy trends has been predicted.

In addition to their effect on mortality, obesity and smoking affect quality of life. Smoking is a major risk factor for cardiovascular disease, chronic lung disease, and several cancers. Obesity leads to cardiovascular disease, diabetes, and joint problems.

Recent U.S. trends in smoking and obesity have moved in opposite directions: over the past 15 years, smoking rates have declined by 20%, whereas obesity rates have increased by 48%. Estimating the joint effect of the trends in smoking and obesity on both mortality and quality of life is important if we are to create a complete picture of their effects on population health. Although previous studies have examined the joint effect of obesity and smoking on mortality, they have neither used nationally representative data nor examined quality of life.

This study forecasts the effect of trends in obesity and smoking on future U.S. life expectancy and quality-adjusted life expectancy. We use data from the past three decades to forecast future rates of obesity and smoking and estimate their effects on length and quality of life.

Methods

Data sources and definitions

Three surveys, each nationally representative of the civilian, noninstitutionalized U.S. population, were used to measure the prevalence of risk factors and their effect on mortality and quality of life in adults. Data on body-mass index (BMI, the weight in kilograms divided by the square of the height in meters) were obtained from physical measures recorded in the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES). Respondents were classified with the use of World Health Organization (WHO) criteria as having normal weight (BMI, 18.5 to 24.9), being overweight (BMI, 25.0 to 29.9), or being obese (BMI, 30.0 to 34.9; obesity class I) or morbidly obese (BMI ≥35.0; obesity classes II and III). Those with a BMI of less than 18.5 were excluded because a low BMI can be indicative of preexisting illness. To measure historical trends, the mean BMI was calculated according to age and sex for four time periods: 1971 through 1975 (NHANES I, 4992 respondents), 1988 through 1994 (NHANES III, 17,689 respondents), 1999 through 2002 (the first two waves of continuous data from NHANES, 10,132 respondents), and 2003 through 2006 (two subsequent waves of continuous data from NHANES, 10,436 respondents).

Smoking trends were obtained from the National Health Interview Survey. The population was divided into four groups: current smokers, former smokers who had smoked within the previous 10 years, former smokers who had not smoked for 10 years or more, and people who had never smoked. Smoking rates were examined according to age and sex for four time periods: 1978 through 1979 (23,488 respondents), 1990 through 1991 (83,770 respondents), 1999 through 2001 (95,623 respondents), and 2004 through 2006 (86,069 respondents).

The effects of smoking status and BMI on quality of life were estimated with the use of data from the 2003 Medical Expenditure Panel Survey, which included a self-rating of health on a scale from 0 (worst health imaginable) to 1.0 (best). Analyses included the 80% of respondents (18,913 in total) for whom there were complete data on smoking, BMI, and self-rated health.

Risks of death from any cause for the joint categories of smoking and BMI were calculated with the use of follow-up data on mortality from NHANES I, II, and III (a combined total of 24,758 respondents). Life tables for the U.S. population were obtained from the National Center for Health Statistics and the Social Security Administration (for ages top-coded in tables from the National Center for Health Statistics; for details see the Supplementary Appendix, available with the full text of this article at NEJM.org).

Approach to forecasting

Our analysis proceeded in four parts. First, we forecasted future prevalence of smoking and obesity by simulating a continuation of past trends. Historical changes in smoking and BMI were calculated going back approximately 15 years. Respondents were divided into 4 categories for smoking and 4 categories for BMI, which were...
then combined to produce a total of 16 groups. To control for changing demographic characteristics, the 16 categories of smoking and BMI in each year were weighted according to the national distribution of age and sex for the year 2000.\textsuperscript{28}

Using NHANES data for the years 2003 through 2006 as a baseline, we then forecasted the joint distribution of future smoking and BMI categories. An annual percentage increase in BMI that was consistent with historical change was simulated for each person. To simulate a continuation of past rates of change for smoking, we probabilistically assigned a percentage of current smokers to become former smokers and a percentage of current and short-term former smokers to become long-term quitters or lifetime nonsmokers in each year. We then recalculated annual population shares in each of the 16 categories for smoking and obesity. Finally, for each future year we smoothed the population distribution by regressing an indicator for being in each joint BMI and smoking category on the basis of age and age squared.

The second step was to estimate the relative risks of death from any cause for each of the 16 groups, applying Cox proportional-hazards models to combined follow-up data from NHANES I, II, and III. We used attained age as the time scale.\textsuperscript{29} Covariates were baseline age in 5-year intervals, sex, and race.

The third step was to generate life tables for each smoking and BMI category. We began with age-specific mortality rates from 2004 life tables. Using the relative risks of death calculated for each of the 16 groups and our forecasts of population shares of smoking and BMI at each age, we calculated mortality rates at each age for each of the 16 groups. These rates were used to simulate life expectancy for each group.

The effect of smoking and BMI on quality of life was estimated using a regression analysis\textsuperscript{30} relating self-rated health to smoking–BMI category and sociodemographic variables. Because the Medical Expenditure Panel Survey asks only about current smoking status, we assumed that the quality of life for former smokers was the same as that for people who had never smoked. Predicted summary health scores were then estimated for each of the 16 categories of smoking and BMI by age. These quality-of-life scores were weighted by our population forecasts to estimate the effects of obesity and smoking on quality-adjusted life expectancy for each future year.

To estimate the effect these risk factors may already have had on life expectancy, we forecasted the change in life expectancy between 1990 and 2004, holding rates of smoking and obesity constant at 1990 levels. We then compared the forecasted change in life expectancy with the observed change in life expectancy over the interval.

**Sensitivity Analyses**

Our baseline simulation assumed that trends in smoking and obesity through 2020 would be

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Smoking status (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current smoker</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>23.1</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>–1.7</td>
<td>–1.4</td>
<td>–2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Former smoker</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Has not smoked for &lt;10 yr</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>–1.6</td>
<td>–3.6</td>
<td>–2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Has not smoked for ≥10 yr</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean BMI</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>27.9</td>
<td>28.3</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\* All prevalence estimates and means are weighted according to the distribution of age and sex in the 2000 census population. Rates of change are percentages. Smoking data are from the National Health Interview Survey for the years that the survey had questions on smoking, including amount of time passed since quitting: 1978 through 1979, 1990 through 1991, 1999 through 2001, and 2004 through 2006. The periods covered for smoking are thus 26.5 years, 14.5 years, and 5 years. Data on body-mass index (BMI, the weight in kilograms divided by the square of the height in meters) are from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) I (1971 through 1975), NHANES III (1988 through 1994), and continuous NHANES data from 1999 through 2002 and 2003 through 2006. The periods covered for BMI, which are based on the midpoints of the periods covered by these surveys, are 31.5 years, 13.5 years, and 4 years.
equal to the change occurring over the 15 years preceding 2020. We formed alternative projections using historical changes from longer (30-year) and shorter (5-year) periods. Also, since evidence suggests that the growth in the rate of obesity may be decelerating,\(^3\)\(^1\),\(^2\) we estimated the threshold rate of increase in BMI above which its adverse effects begin to surpass the beneficial effects of declines in the rate of smoking. We also simulated the effects of eliminating smoking and obesity (i.e., reducing BMI to <25) by 2020. Finally, we performed sensitivity analyses using alternative relative risks of death from any cause, obtained from two prospective studies of health professionals that, although not nationally representative, consider the joint effects of smoking and BMI on mortality.\(^2\)\(^1\),\(^3\)\(^3\)

**RESULTS**

**RATES OF CHANGE IN SMOKING AND OBESITY**

On average, the prevalence of smoking declined by 1.4% per year and BMI increased by 0.5% per year over the 15 years before 2005 (Table 1). Over the 30-year and 5-year historical periods, the annual rate of decline in smoking was higher (1.7% and 2.0%, respectively), and the annual rate of increase in BMI was lower (0.4% and 0.3%, respectively).

Our forecasted trends in the prevalence of smoking and the distribution of BMI assume that historical 15-year trends will continue over the course of our projection period, from 2005 through 2020 (Fig. 1) (smoothing details are provided in the Supplementary Appendix). Over those 15 years, we project that the share of the population that is currently smoking will decline by 21%, the share that stopped for less than 10 years will decline by 44%, and the share that stopped smoking for 10 years or more will increase by 5%. Over that same time frame, the share of the population that has a normal weight is projected to decline by 35%. Nearly half the population (45%) is expected to be obese by 2020.

**HEALTH OUTCOMES**

In the analyses of the risks of death associated with BMI and smoking, mortality was higher among current smokers and those with a higher BMI (consistent with the findings by Flegal and colleagues).\(^3\) In the analyses of quality of life, smokers had a lower quality than nonsmokers at all ages, and quality declined with increasing BMI across age groups (Table 2). (The relative risks of death from smoking and BMI status and the results of regression analysis for quality of life appear in the Supplementary Appendix.)

Fifteen-year forecasts for a typical 18-year-old in terms of life expectancy and quality-adjusted life expectancy, accounting for changes in smoking status alone, in BMI alone, and in both combined, are shown in Table 3 and Figure 2. For smoking status alone, continued declines in smoking at rates seen over the past 15 years...
would lead to an increase in life expectancy of 0.31 years and an increase in quality-adjusted life expectancy of 0.41 years (over and above the trend in life expectancy resulting from other factors). In contrast, a continuation of the increases seen in BMI alone would reduce gains in life expectancy by 1.02 years and reduce quality-adjusted gains in life expectancy by 1.32 years relative to the trend. The net effect of the declines in smoking and the increases in BMI is a reduction in life expectancy of 0.71 years and a reduction in quality-adjusted life expectancy of 0.91 years relative to the trend. This pattern of results is seen for every year between 2005 and 2020 and becomes more pronounced over time.

Sensitivity analyses based on alternative estimates of the risks of death, obtained from prospective studies, yielded similar results (Fig. 2C).

### Table 2. Summary of Quality-of-Life Scores According to Level of Risk.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Smoking Status and Age</th>
<th>Normal Weight (BMI, 18.5–24.9)</th>
<th>Overweight (BMI, 25.0–29.9)</th>
<th>Obese (BMI, 30.0–34.9)</th>
<th>Morbidly Obese (BMI, ≥35.0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Former smoker or lifetime nonsmoker</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18–24 yr</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25–34 yr</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35–44 yr</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45–54 yr</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55–64 yr</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65–74 yr</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥75 yr</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current smoker</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18–24 yr</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25–34 yr</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35–44 yr</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45–54 yr</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55–64 yr</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65–74 yr</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.66†</td>
<td>0.61†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥75 yr</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.66†</td>
<td>0.61†</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Quality-of-life scores range from 0 to 1, with 0 representing the worst health imaginable and 1 the best. Scores were predicted on the basis of a regression of scores on the European Quality of Life–5 Dimensions (EQ-5D) visual-analogue scale available in the Medical Expenditure Panel Survey, 2003. BMI denotes body-mass index.

† The quality of life for obese and morbidly obese smokers was estimated jointly for all respondents who were 65 years of age or older, since the number of respondents in these groups was small.

Historical trends from the years 1990 through 2004 show that the life expectancy of a typical 18-year-old increased by 2.44 years as compared with our forecasted increase of 2.98 years, assuming no change in smoking and obesity rates from 1990 levels. Thus, adverse trends in obesity reduced, but did not overwhelm, the positive effects of other factors during the years 1990 through 2004 (Fig. 2).
by 1.73 years and 2.17 years, respectively; returning the entire population to normal weight would have similar effects. The combined effect of eliminating both smoking and obesity made for a net gain of 3.76 life-years and 5.16 quality-adjusted life-years (Table 3 and Fig. 2A and 2B).

**Table 3. Effect of Alternative Assumptions about Trends in Smoking and BMI on Life Expectancy and Quality-Adjusted Life Expectancy for a Typical 18-Year-Old.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Change, 2005–2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Smoking Status Alone†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>life expectancy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Historical 15-year rate of change (baseline)</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Historical 30-year rate of change</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Historical 5-year rate of change</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All persons become nonsmokers of normal weight¶</td>
<td>1.73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* BMI denotes body-mass index.
† This category shows the effect of continuing trends in smoking status with BMI remaining at 2005 levels.
‡ This category shows the effect of continuing trends in BMI with smoking status remaining at 2005 levels.
§ This category shows the effect of continuing trends in both smoking status and BMI.
¶ In this scenario, current and former smokers were classified as former smokers who had not smoked for 10 years or more.

Our forecasts suggest that if past trends continue, almost half the U.S. adult population will meet the WHO criteria for obesity by 2020, a prediction consistent with that made by Wang and colleagues.34 That said, it is difficult to predict future trends in smoking and obesity with accuracy. Risk-factor trends are susceptible to policy and environmental factors, and there is evidence that the rate of increase in BMI may be decelerating.31,32 However, increases in BMI among adults are likely to occur with the maturation of the current cohort of U.S. children, among whom obesity rates are at a historical high.22 In addition, sensitivity analyses using different historical rates of change in risk factors show that the negative effects of trends in obesity continue to outweigh the positive effects of declines in smoking as long as the increases in BMI exceed even minimal levels.

Although adverse behavioral risk factors cannot be completely eliminated, even modest weight loss35 and reductions in smoking at the individual level32 can have substantial effects on population health. Research has shown the clinical efficacy of several interventions in achieving smoking cessation36 and weight control.35,37-39 The challenge is to increase the use of these interventions40 while continuing to develop and test others. Effective public health and behavioral interventions are crucial for fostering continued efforts to curtail smoking41 and addressing the roots of obesity, which include sedentary...
lifestyles, the widespread availability of high-calorie food in large portions, and reduced time for the preparation of food at home.\textsuperscript{32,42-45}

Since most of the negative effects of obesity on health are manifested in chronic diseases, it is encouraging that control of other risk factors for cardiovascular disease, such as high cholesterol and hypertension, has improved over the past 40 years, particularly among those who are overweight or obese.\textsuperscript{46} An important exception to this trend is diabetes,\textsuperscript{46,47} the prevalence of which is at an all-time high and continues to increase rapidly. Improved treatment of obesity-related diseases is thus vital to improving the nation’s health.
Our study examined the joint effect of trends in obesity and smoking on length and quality of life in the United States. In another study, investigators estimated the effect of these trends on disability-adjusted life expectancy in the Netherlands.\(^4\) Their findings were similar in magnitude and direction to ours, despite the marked difference in their methods, which were based on a microsimulation model of chronic disease. An important strength of our study was the use of nationally representative data as a base for forecasts and underlying rates. Basing our projections on simultaneous historical trends in smoking and obesity, we were able to incorporate factors such as the weight gain among smokers who quit.\(^49\)

Our study also has some limitations. Although it quantifies the effects of obesity and smoking, it cannot account for the many other factors that determine life expectancy and quality of life, such as advances in medicine and public health. Historically, the positive effects of these factors have overwhelmed the negative effects of smoking and obesity; our results are depicted relative to an assumed continuation of this trend. There is ongoing debate about the effect of different BMI levels on the risk of death.\(^5\)\(^,\)\(^50\) However, the relative risks of death in our study come directly from nationally representative data, and sensitivity analyses using other published data on relative risks show that our findings are robust.\(^21\)\(^,\)\(^48\) As with past studies,\(^3\)\(^,\)\(^4\)\(^,\)\(^21\)\(^,\)\(^33\) our mortality analyses did not include socioeconomic status, although alternative analyses controlling for education yielded very similar results (see Table A3 in the Supplementary Appendix). Our quality-of-life estimates are cross-sectional, since prospective studies were not available to measure the joint effects of smoking and obesity in a nationally representative sample. Comparison with data from a prospective study of quality of life and weight loss suggests that the actual changes in quality of life associated with weight loss or gain may be greater than we estimate.\(^51\)

The forecasts reported are at a population level and do not apply to a particular person who loses weight or stops smoking. We also made some assumptions that are typical for population-level estimates.\(^1\)\(^-\)\(^4\),\(^8\),\(^11\) For example, we assume that the risk of death increases instantaneously when a BMI threshold is crossed. However, because the baseline risk is relatively low, actual increases in mortality are not observed right away. In addition, although we simulate changes in smoking and BMI in each future year, our forecasts for each year assume that smoking and obesity status remain unchanged throughout a person’s life. Finally, our forecasts are not stratified by socioeconomic status or race.\(^8\)\(^,\)\(^32\)\(^,\)\(^52\)\(^,\)\(^53\) BMI has increased disproportionately among blacks, which may further heighten disparities in the future.\(^7\)

In conclusion, the detrimental effect of increases in obesity rates on population health is tempered only somewhat by the decline in the prevalence of smoking. Efforts to improve health should focus on stabilization or reversal of trends in BMI, continued reductions in tobacco use, and better control of the clinical risk factors associated with obesity and smoking. Inadequate progress in these areas could result in an erosion of the pattern of steady gains in health observed in the United States since the early 20th century.
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